

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,586		03/19/2004	Francisco M. De La Vega	5150	7296
22896	7590	05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
	-	TENT DEPT.	SIMS, JASON M		
APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS 850 LINCOLN CENTRE DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOSTER C			1631		

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/804,586	DE LA VEGA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason M. Sims	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	arch 2006.					
·—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-33</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	 ο	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-24, in the reply filed on 3/28/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 25-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected subject matter, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/28/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In regards to claims 1-24, the instant claims are drawn to a method of data manipulation involving haplotype analysis, which is non-statutory unless the claims include a step of physical transformation, or if the claims include a useful, tangible and concrete result. It is important to note, that the claims themselves must include a physical transformation step or a useful, tangible and concrete result in order for the claimed invention to be statutory. It is not sufficient that a physical transformation step or a useful, tangible, and concrete result be asserted in the specification for the claims to be statutory. In the instant claims, there is no step of physical transformation, thus the Examiner must determine if the instant claims include a useful, tangible, and concrete result.

Art Unit: 1631

In determining if the instant claims are useful, tangible, and concrete, the Examiner must determine each standard individually. For a claim to be "useful," the claim must produce a result that is specific, substantial, and credible. For a claim to be "tangible," the claim must set forth a practical application of the invention that produces a real-world result. For a claim to be "concrete," the process must have a result that can be substantially repeatable or the process must substantially produce the same result again. Furthermore, the claim must recite a useful, tangible, and concrete result in the claim itself, and the claim must be limited only to statutory embodiments. Thus, if the claim is broader than the statutory embodiments of the claim, the Examiner must reject the claim as non-statutory.

The instant claims do not include any tangible result. A tangible requirement requires that the claim must set forth a practical application of the mathematical algorithm to produce a real-world result. In the instant case, claims 1-24 exercise a method of data manipulation for haplotype analysis, but do not specifically point out a useful, tangible or concrete result. Further, the instant claims do not require a practitioner to actively perform a physical step wherein a plurality of measurements from a biological variable are obtained from a biological sample, for example by first performing a plurality of physical measurements on a biological array. Rather, the instantly claimed method are open to embodiments wherein the steps of determining and comparing variances are based on a plurality of measurements obtained from preexisting database of biological measurements. Therefore, the instant claims do not include any tangible result.

Art Unit: 1631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Judsen et al. (US P/N 6,931,326).

The claim subject matter is directed to a method for analyzing nucleotide sequence information during haplotyping analysis. The method involves selecting a data superset, identifying groupings of analogous SNPs from the superset, reducing the data, and performing a haplotyping analysis using the reduced data subset.

Judsen et al. teaches Claims 1 and 10, at Col. 5, lines 3-27, Col. 7, lines 20-23, Col. 8, lines 55-67, Col. 9, lines 24-27 and lines 58-67, Col. 10, lines 15-19, and Col. 10, lines 37-57. Judsen et al. discusses selecting a data superset by creating a database of haplotypes. Fig. 9 describes a plurality of SNPs associated with a plurality of haplotypes, where the haplotype is determined by the sequence of the SNP present. Judsen et al. describes, in the methodology, selecting a subset of haplotypes and SNPs to be included in a subset and recalculating or redoing a haplotype analysis based on this subset.

Art Unit: 1631

Judsen et al. teaches Claim 2 and 11, at Col. 34, lines 15-55. Judsen et al. discusses including a wide range of SNPs to be included in the haplotype analysis, which would include several SNPs that are non-analogous to be included in any reduced data set and also include SNPs which are substantially identical for each of the plurality of haplotypes.

Judsen et al. teaches Claim 3, at Col. 9, lines 24-27. Judsen et al. discusses the method of haplotype analysis, which includes a recalculate function in the algorithm after the subset of data has been created as required by the instant claim.

Judsen et al. teaches Claim 6, at Col. 9, lines 40-57. Judsen et al. discusses a feature of displaying the results of the haplotype analysis, which is able to discriminate between haplotypes and their associated SNPs.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Sims, whose telephone number is (571)-272-7540.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached via telephone (571)-272-0811.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (571)-273-8300.

Any inquire of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571)-272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/804,586

Art Unit: 1631

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

S. Bruser 29 May 2006

Page 6